

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/040,485

Applicant(s)

Radosevich et al

Examiner

Julie E. Burke (Reeves), Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Julie E. Burke (Reeves), Ph.D.	
(2) Alice MArtin	
Date of Interview 14 Jun 2000	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to 🔲 applicant 🔲 applicant's representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 6, 7, 9-11, 19, and 20	
Identification of prior art discussed: none	
into the case, claims submitted 9/10/99 is the last entered amdt. Examiner pointed out how the fragments of clair read upon peptides which have one amino acid in common with those fragments; that absent a structural limitation (length of fragment, removed by last after final amdt) or absent a functional limitation, the claim would stand reject under 35 USC 112. Exmainer explained that the last amdt (ne) the functional limitation was moved to outside the language "comprising". Appt licants intend to file another amdt.	n :ted open
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would rethe claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ender owable
1. [] It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO TH LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 about is also checked. Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	e the t ove
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	j.R

Interview Summary

is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Application No. 09/040.485 Appli

Radosevich et al

Examiner

Julie E. Burke (Reeves), Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1642

(1) Julie E. Burke (Reeves), Ph.D.	(3)
(2) Alice Martin	(4)
Date of Interview	
Type: Ⅸ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given	to \square applicant \square applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: \square Y	es 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛚 🗓 was reached. 🔲 was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1-21	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
4 items filed on IDS Paper no 17	
Examiner will enter after final filed 615/00. Exmain Exmainer obtained permission to cancel claim 7 and of fees, certification or petition, as required for IDS	ed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: ner obtained permission to cancel nonelected claims 1-5, 8, 12-18. If to amend claim 9. The Supplemental IDS was filed without payment filed afterfinal, therefore the IDS was not proper and the PTO 1449 S filed 3/20/00 had already been considered by the Exmainer and a

1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

BURKE JULIE BURKE JULIE BURKE PRIMARY EXAMIN' PRIMARY EXAMIN'